Supply Management



February 22, 2017

Ms. Beverly Redfurn, Chief Operations Officer

Redfurn & Redfurn Corporation

10920 Premier Avenue

Port Richey, FL 34668-2547

RE: Supplier Disagreement Resolution No. SDR16MO-05

USPS Solicitation Nos. 3D-16-A-1020 and 3D-16-A-0091

Dear Ms. Redfurn:

This letter responds to the August 19, 2016 submission that you lodged with the Supplier Disagreement Resolution (“SDR”) Official on behalf of Redfurn & Redfurn Corporation (“Redfurn”). The submission stated that Redfurn would like to file a supplier disagreement (“Disagreement”) regarding Solicitation No. 3D-16-A-0120, but the Disagreement references this as the “Tampa Solicitation.”

Solicitation No. 3D-16-A-0120, which was released on June 1, 2016, was for vehicle washing services for the VMF in Miami, FL. Solicitation No. 3D-16-A-0091, which was released on April 12, 2016, was for vehicle washing services for the VMF in Tampa, FL. Thus, your Disagreement combined two distinct solicitations for vehicle washing services at different locations in Florida.

I reviewed your submission along with the supporting documents you provided. The submission and documents you provided reference multiple solicitations, a number of which are from years ago.

Before the SDR Official may consider a supplier disagreement, the supplier must first timely lodge an initial disagreement with the responsible contracting officer within 10 days of the date the supplier received the notification of award or 10 days from the date of debriefing, whichever is later. *See* 39 C.F.R. §§ 601.107(b); 601.108(a). Your submission provided no evidence, and confirmation was obtained from the Vehicles & Delivery/Industrial Equipment (V&DIE) Category Management Center (CMC), that Redfurn never lodged a disagreement concerning either Solicitation No. 3D-16-A-0120 or Solicitation No. 3D-16-A-0091 with the V&DIE contracting officer. Accordingly, your Disagreement was not timely lodged through the initial disagreement resolution process as required by 39 C.F.R. § 601.107(b) and is untimely. To the extent the Disagreement was intended to also address previous solicitations and awards, which were referenced in the supporting documents, those issues are also untimely.

In accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 601.108(g), this is my final resolution.

Sincerely,

Mark A. Guilfoil

USPS Supplier Disagreement Resolution Official

Acting Manager

SM Infrastructure