

### **Overview**

Beginning FY2019 Q1, service performance for USPS Marketing Mail® Letters and non-Saturation flats is measured through the USPS® internal measurement system. The system uses documented arrival time at a designated postal facility to start the clock, and an Intelligent Mail® barcode (IMB®) scan by postal personnel at delivery for randomly selected delivery points to stop the clock. Mail piece tracking from IMB® in-process scans is used in conjunction with the sampling data to extrapolate results for the entire volume of measurement eligible Full Service Intelligent Mail. The transit time from the start-the-clock through final automated processing is the Processing Duration leg, and the transit time from final automated processing until delivery is the Last Mile. Total transit time was calculated for the mail and compared with the appropriate service standard for the product to determine the service performance.

Scores prior to FY2019 Q1 were calculated and compiled by an independent external contractor. The system used for this reporting was called the Intelligent Mail® Accuracy and Performance System (iMAPS). The external contractor determined service performance based on the elapsed time between the start-the-clock event recorded by U.S. Postal Service® and the stop-the-clock event recorded by anonymous households and small businesses that report delivery information directly to the contractor. The service measure consisted of two parts: (1) how long mail pieces take to get through processing, and (2) how long mail takes from the last processing scan to delivery. The second portion was used as a delivery factor differential to determine the percent of all USPS Marketing Mail® delivered on the last processing date versus the percent delivered after the last processing date. Service performance was measured by comparing the transit time to USPS® service standards to determine the percent of mail delivered on time.

The service performance measure for Destination Delivery Unit (DDU) Entry Saturation flats involves the identification of major weekly Saturation mailings within delivery units. Delivery of these mailings is captured with a scan made by carriers at the completion of delivery of all pieces on the route. Service performance is measured by comparing the delivery date to the end date of the mailer requested in-home window to determine the percent delivered on time.

The service performance measurement system for Every Door Direct Mail – Retail® (EDDM Retail®) uses the documented arrival time of a mailing at a retail unit to start the clock, using the point-of-sale scan when mail is handed to U.S. Postal Service®, and an Intelligent Mail® parcel barcode (IMpb®) scan by a USPS® carrier to stop the clock. The delivery of bundles of EDDM Retail® pieces is captured with a scan made by carriers at the delivery unit upon distribution for delivery. Service performance is measured by comparing the total transit time of mail piece bundles to the service standard to determine the percent delivered on time.

Results for DDU Entry Saturation flats and EDDM Retail® are combined with other Destination Entry Standard Mail in the Destination Entry scores in this report.

The service performance measure for USPS Marketing Mail® Parcels with USPS Tracking® serves as a proxy for measuring service performance for USPS Marketing Mail® Parcels.

### **Limitations**

Due to limited automated processing for USPS Marketing Mail® Flats, the service performance results may not be representative of all USPS Marketing Mail® Flats performance. While Destination Delivery Unit (DDU) entered Saturation Flats and EDDM Retail® Flats have been included this quarter, significant gaps in the coverage of non-Saturation/non-EDDM Retail® DDU Entry mail still remain and are excluded from measurement. Results for USPS Marketing Mail® Parcels, which represent less than 0.1 percent of all USPS Marketing Mail®, are not included in the overall USPS Marketing Mail® results.

### **Performance Highlights**

National Destination Entry mail achieved 95.4 percent on time in FY2023 Quarter 2, which is 0.8 points higher than the same period last year. For Destination Entry mail, 99.3 percent was delivered within service standard plus three days. Alaska led the nation in Destination Entry performance with 98.2 percent on time. 44 districts achieved an on-time performance at or above the performance target of 93.64 for Destination Entry mail.

End-To-End Entry national performance was 89.5 percent on time, which is 14.5 points higher than the same period last year. In FY2023 Quarter 2, 97.7 percent of End-To-End Entry USPS Marketing Mail® was delivered within the service standard plus three days. The Florida 2 District had the highest End-To-End Entry score with 94.1 percent on time.

United States Postal Service®  
**Quarterly Performance for USPS Marketing Mail®**  
**Mailpieces Delivered Between 01/01/2023 and 03/31/2023**

Quarter II  
FY2023

District	Destination Entry	End-To-End
	Percent On Time	Percent On Time
<b>Atlantic Area</b>	<b>95.8</b>	<b>87.8</b>
Connecticut	95.0	86.2
De-Pa2	96.8	89.5
Ma-Ri	94.1	86.7
Maryland	94.3	82.8
Me-Nh-Vt	94.1	87.4
New Jersey	97.2	88.0
New York 1	95.6	88.8
New York 2	96.6	87.7
New York 3	96.7	89.7
North Carolina	96.1	90.3
Pennsylvania 1	97.4	92.9
Virginia	93.4	82.0
<b>Central Area</b>	<b>95.7</b>	<b>90.0</b>
Ia-Ne-Sd	96.6	91.7
Illinois 1	95.7	91.7
Illinois 2	95.3	89.2
Indiana	95.5	89.5
Ks-Mo	95.5	86.9
Ky-Wv	96.9	91.5
Michigan 1	96.4	89.8
Michigan 2	97.5	92.0
Mn-Nd	92.5	87.3
Ohio 1	96.7	89.1
Ohio 2	95.3	91.2
Wisconsin	95.8	90.7
<b>Southern Area</b>	<b>94.5</b>	<b>89.4</b>
Al-Ms	95.7	87.8
Ar-Ok	96.2	87.8
Florida 1	95.1	92.9
Florida 2	96.8	94.1
Florida 3	93.4	90.3
Georgia	93.0	86.7
Louisiana	95.9	90.8
Puerto Rico	93.9	84.7
South Carolina	93.8	88.7
Tennessee	93.5	86.2
Texas 1	92.3	87.0
Texas 2	94.8	91.0
Texas 3	95.0	91.1

United States Postal Service®  
**Quarterly Performance for USPS Marketing Mail®**  
**Mailpieces Delivered Between 01/01/2023 and 03/31/2023**

Quarter II  
FY2023

District	Destination Entry	End-To-End
	Percent On Time	Percent On Time
<b>Westpac Area</b>	<b>96.2</b>	<b>91.0</b>
Alaska	98.2	93.1
Az-Nm	97.3	86.7
California 1	96.9	93.4
California 2	95.0	92.3
California 3	96.7	93.2
California 4	97.5	91.9
California 5	94.4	88.9
California 6	96.7	92.6
Co-Wy	94.2	89.0
Hawaii	95.0	92.1
Id-Mt-Or	96.1	89.2
Nv-Ut	96.5	91.5
Washington	96.7	92.7
<b>Nation FY2023 Q2</b>	<b>95.4</b>	<b>89.5</b>
<b>Nation FY2022 Q2 (SPLY)</b>	<b>94.6</b>	<b>75.0</b>
<b>Nation FY2009 Annual</b>	<b>86.4</b>	<b>70.7</b>
<b>Nation FY2010 Annual</b>	<b>83.4</b>	<b>59.0</b>
<b>Nation FY2011 Annual</b>	<b>70.3</b>	<b>38.4</b>
<b>Nation FY2012 Annual</b>	<b>82.0</b>	<b>56.5</b>
<b>Nation FY2013 Annual</b>	<b>88.8</b>	<b>63.3</b>
<b>Nation FY2014 Annual</b>	<b>89.9</b>	<b>63.5</b>
<b>Nation FY2015 Annual</b>	<b>89.1</b>	<b>59.6</b>
<b>Nation FY2016 Annual</b>	<b>92.3</b>	<b>65.9</b>
<b>Nation FY2017 Annual</b>	<b>93.7</b>	<b>69.8</b>
<b>Nation FY2018 Annual</b>	<b>91.6</b>	<b>66.4</b>
<b>Nation FY2019 Annual</b>	<b>91.9</b>	<b>66.2</b>
<b>Nation FY2020 Annual</b>	<b>91.5</b>	<b>69.3</b>
<b>Nation FY2021 Annual</b>	<b>90.0</b>	<b>70.0</b>
<b>Nation FY2022 Annual</b>	<b>95.0</b>	<b>80.7</b>
<b>Nation FY2023 Q1</b>	<b>94.9</b>	<b>87.7</b>
<b>FY2023 Annual Target</b>	<b>93.64</b>	<b>93.64</b>